# REVIEW FOR APPLICABILITY OF/COMPLIANCE WITH ORDINANCES/POLICIES

## FOR PURPOSES OF CONSIDERATION OF P83-054W<sup>1</sup>, LOG NO. 83-14-052A

April 9, 2007

I. HABITAT LOSS PERMIT ORDINANCE – Does the proposed project conform to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings?								
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT					
Discussion:								
The proposed project and any off-site improvements are located within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. Therefore, conformance to the Habitat Loss Permit/Coastal Sage Scrub Ordinance findings is not required.								
<u>II. MSCP/BMO</u> - Does the proposed project conform to the Multiple Species Conservation Program and Biological Mitigation Ordinance?								
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT					
Discussion:								
The proposed project and any off-site improvements related to the proposed project are within the boundaries of the Multiple Species Conservation Program. The project conforms with the Multiple Species Conservation Program and the Biological Mitigation Ordinance as discussed in the MSCP Findings dated July 31, 2003.								
III. GROUNDWATER ORDINANCE - Does the project comply with the requirements of the San Diego County Groundwater Ordinance?								
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT					
Discussion:								

The project will obtain its water supply from the Padre Dam Municipal Water District which obtains water from surface reservoirs and/or imported sources. The project will not use any groundwater for any purpose, including irrigation or domestic supply.

## **IV. RESOURCE PROTECTION ORDINANCE** - Does the project comply with:

The wetland and wetland buffer regulations (Article IV, Sections 1 & 2) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Floodways and Floodplain Fringe section (Article IV, Section 3) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The <u>Steep Slope</u> section (Article IV, Section 5)?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Sensitive Habitat Lands section (Article IV, Section 6) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT
The Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites section (Article IV, Section 7) of the Resource Protection Ordinance?	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE/EXEMPT

### Discussion:

#### Wetland and Wetland Buffers:

The site contains no wetland habitats as defined by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance. A drainage occurs within an existing biological open space easement on the eastern side of the property. No impacts will result to the drainage as a result of the proposed expansion.

## Floodways and Floodplain Fringe:

The project is not located near any floodway or floodplain fringe area as defined in the resource protection ordinance, nor is it near a watercourse plotted on any official County floodway or floodplain map.

## Steep Slopes:

The average slope for the property is less than 15 percent gradient. Slopes with a gradient of 25 percent or greater and 50 feet or higher in vertical height are required to be placed in open space easements by the San Diego County Resource Protection Ordinance (RPO). There are no steep slopes on the property. The project is in conformance with the RPO.

#### Sensitive Habitats:

Although the project will impact southern mixed chaparral, a habitat typically considered sensitive outside the MSCP, the habitat is currently fragmented and has minimal value for long term conservation of sensitive plants or wildlife. Therefore, the development of this site is not considered to be an impact to sensitive habitat and in compliance with Article IV, Item 6 of the Resource Protection Ordinance.

## Significant Prehistoric and Historic Sites:

The County of San Diego staff archaeologist/historian has inspected the property, analyzed records, and determined there are no archaeological/ historical sites.

**V. STORMWATER ORDINANCE (WPO)** - Does the project comply with the County of San Diego Watershed Protection, Stormwater Management and Discharge Control Ordinance (WPO)?

Ordinance (WPO	)?			
	YES	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	
Discussion:				
		•	n received February 2, 2004 we and in compliance with the W	
			ect comply with the County of S e County of San Diego Noise C	_
	YES ⊠	NO	NOT APPLICABLE	

Discussion:

Transportation (traffic, railroad, aircraft) noise levels at the project site are not expected to exceed Community Noise Equivalent Level (CNEL)=60 decibels (dB) limit because review of the project indicates that the project is not in close proximity to a railroad and/or airport. Additionally, the County of San Diego GIS noise model does not indicate that the project would be subject to potential excessive noise levels from circulation element roads either now or at General Plan buildout.

Noise impacts to the proposed project from adjacent land uses are not expected to exceed the property line sound level limits of the County of San Diego Noise Ordinance.

Even though the proposal could expose people to potentially significant noise levels (i.e., in excess of the County General Plan or Noise Ordinance), the following noise mitigation measures are proposed to reduce the noise impacts to applicable limits:

• A construction noise mitigation plan shall be prepared by a County-certified acoustical consultant based on the final construction plans for these church facilities. The Plan shall include mitigation measures and work schedules to be implemented by the applicant so the daily noise exposure level from all construction activities within any 24-hour period do not exceed the 8-hour noise equivalent level of 75 decibels (dBA). The consultant shall be required to investigate and to monitor noise levels, if required, at the residential property line most affected by construction operations (i.e., along the northern property line with the elevated neighboring residences). With any documented overexposure, the consultant shall recommend additional measures to be filed with the

construction noise plans at DPLU and to implement them on the construction project in order to comply with the County Noise regulations (Section 36.410).

- The final grading plans shall specify that temporary construction activities involving rock crushing operations within 162 feet of a property line shall be subject to monitoring and mitigation measures such as temporary noise barriers or reduced hours based on the findings and recommendations of the construction noise mitigation plan approved by the Director of the Department of Planning and Land Use.
- The "Modular Building Elevations" of the plot plans shall include the following language: "The interim fellowship hall shall have 7 Bard Model WA/WH241 wall-mounted air-conditioners or equivalently sized units each with an equivalent noise performance criteria (hourly maximum sound pressure level) of 45 decibels (dBA) or less at a distance of 50 feet. The interim administrative building and modular classrooms shall have a total of 7 Bard Model WA/WH361 wall-mounted air-conditioners or equivalently sized units each with an equivalent noise performance criteria (hourly maximum sound pressure level) of 48 decibels (dBA) or less at a distance of 50 feet."

With the implementation of these mitigation measures, the project would not expose people to nor generate potentially significant noise levels which exceed the allowable limits of the County of San Diego Noise Element of the General Plan, County of San Diego Noise Ordinance, and other applicable local, State, and Federal noise control regulations.

ND04-07\8314052A-ORDCHKLST